

MUFON Minnesota Journal

Issue #152 Nov./Dec. 2011

Alien Entity Abductions

By MUFON MN ASD Richard Moss

Those who have claimed contact with extraterrestrial entities have been laughed at and, in some cases, ridiculed if they make any reference to the subject of flying saucers. Most people do not know much about them and, when among a group of people, will not usually offer any opinions concerning the subject. It's better to be safe than sorry.

Over decades of time, opinions about UFOs have changed to some extent. Nowadays there seems to be more flexibility of judgment, which is a good sign. There is little doubt that entities have probably made contact with humans during at least some encounters.

An attempt to understand what is happening, why it is happening, and why we seem to be rather surprised by it all, must consider the long standing brick wall of official denial, a denial that has suppressed vital information including much earlier data through the 1970's.

The idea that humans have been abducted by the ET group called Grays does not generally draw sarcastic mockery from informed people. The small Grays might be thought of as dolls possessing a group mentality. Perhaps they have a cosmic life force within them but not in the same way as in humans.

Attempts to understand what might be happening during abductions, why it is happening, and why many seem surprised by it all, attests to the notion that the appearance of UFOs was truly an interesting surprise.

UFO investigators are keenly aware of the recurring types of alien entities. The so-called Pleidians, or Blondes, seem to possess caucasian features. There have been descriptions of giants with small heads, one-eyed entities, the short pumpkin heads, short hairy entities and flying mothmen among others.

Of all the entities reported over the years, those referred to as the "Grays" have been the most frequently described. They are involved, by far, in most abductions.

The first abduction which received a great deal of attention was the Barney and Betty Hill case. Some years later Betty Andreasson had Gray-type experiences. There was a big difference between the terror experienced by the Hills as they were examined by the Grays as compared to the experience of Betty Andreasson who came away convinced that they were angelic creatures who had expanded her consciousness.



Minnesota Mufon Meetings

**Sat., Nov. 12th & Sat., Dec. 10th
2pm - 5pm**

**New Brighton Family
Service Center
Room 224
400 - 10th St. NW
(located 1/4-mile S.W.
of Hwy 694 and 35W.)**

**PARK FREE! The building is
designated as non-smoking.**

**See map on back cover
(Note: The building has no
special security, so you can
come and go as you please
and smoke outdoors. There
is also an elevator.)**

In this Issue:

- *Alien Entity Abductions - Page 1*
- *Homeland Security sits on it- Pg 2*
- *CE4 Corner - Page 3*
- *AF Rules Vanish - Page 5*
- *Moon No-Fly Zones - Page 7*
- *Balls of Light- Page 8*
- *ET Wall of Secrecy - Page 9*
- *Intentions of UFOs - Page 11*
- *Minnesota Disc Report- Page 14*
- *MUFON MN Info- Page 14*
- *Meeting Map - Page 15*

One woman claimed to have been repeatedly abducted by Grays and taken to an underground facility where she watched biological experiences being performed. She also claimed to have seen very strange looking creatures at the lower levels of a laboratory. A different woman claimed she saw a hybrid child in the presence of a Gray "father."

If the Grays could convince us that their motives are legitimate and acceptable to humans, they would have little difficulty finding volunteers.

**Homeland Security Committee Chair
Congressman Peter King sits on
National Security Intelligence – after
military officers tell of unauthorized
nuclear missile shut-downs**

March 2, 2011

<http://news.exopoliticsinstitute.org/index.php/homeland-security-committee-chair-congressman-peter-king-sits-on-national-security-intelligence-after-military-officers-tell-of-unauthorized-nuclear-missile-shut-downs/>

Toronto – March 2, 2011 - Captain Robert Salas USAF (Ret.) stunned a Los Angeles press conference by indicating that he and two other principals have faxed New York State Congressman Peter King - Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security complete details, including signed affidavits and other intelligence, verifying how and when United States nuclear missiles have been compromised.

In March of 1967 ten nuclear missiles were shut-down (rendered to a 'no-go' status) after a craft of unknown origin breached the air-space over Malmstrom AFB in Montana. It hovered over the nuclear installation in plain sight of security police.

King, also a member of the House Intelligence committee, has been in possession of this national security intelligence since December 17, 2010. According to Captain Salas, he and the other principals involved in providing Congressman King with this intelligence continue to wait for a response from King.

Captain Robert Salas USAF (Ret.) discussed this matter and other former USAF officers' encounters with craft of unknown origin over American nuclear installations at a February 23rd 2011 press conference in Los Angeles California.

The Incidents -

In 1967 as a Deputy Missile Combat Crew Commander (DMCCC) at Malmstrom AFB in Montana, Captain Salas told of how he came to witness the unexplained shut-down of ten ICBM nuclear missiles after his security team reported to him the presence of a large red glowing object hovering over the based perimeter in March of 1967.

Six other USAF officers experienced similar national security breaches in subsequent years at other nuclear installations in the USA and UK. Their signed and notarized affidavits to this effect are reported to have been unveiled at last Wednesday's press conference in LA as well as at a press conference at the Washington Press Club September 27, 2010.

According to USAF Captain Robert Salas (Ret.) and the other six officers, the compromising of US nuclear weapons by unauthorized means – cannot be described as or considered anything else but a severe threat to US national security- especially when the cause of the breaches were ostensibly triggered by craft of unknown origin.

Explosive Political Backdrop -

Based on interviews with Salas, his statements in LA last week and the authority of primary sources, one of whom is a Catholic priest residing in New York State, ZlandCommunications has learned that not only has this national security intelligence been sent to Congressman King and receipt of it acknowledged by his staff; this vital national security intelligence from United States Air Force officers (i.e. affidavits and event summaries concerning nuclear missile shut-downs) has been in the possession of Homeland Security Chair, Congressman Peter King since December 17, 2010 –some ten (10) weeks ago.

It is worthy of note that in the faxed correspondence to King, Captain Salas indicated his willingness to personally meet with the Congressman to review and assess all of the intelligence and support materials.

In a December 17, 2010 e-mail to ZlandCommunications from Ms. Michele Ingwersen, Congressman Peter King's Legislative Assistant and Scheduler, Ms. Ingwersen wrote, "We have received your faxes and will show them to Congressman King. Thank you."

After several weeks, to clarify why no response had yet been sent to Captain Salas or to the letters of the other principals, ZlandCommunications engaged in several telephone conversations in January and February of 2011 with Ms. Ingwersen. The repeated response to ZlandCommunications from Ms. Ingwersen was that she had passed the information to the Congressman. No other assistance was either volunteered or provided by Ms. Ingwersen.

E-mails to, as well as calls and voice messages seeking clarification concerning this matter left for Mr. Kevin Fogarty, Congressman King's Chief of Staff and media liaison were met with no response.

Members of the press are invited to contact Congressman King's staff members and Congressman King using the information below to obtain confirmation of these facts:

Michele Ingwersen Legislative Assistant to Congressman King – 202-225-7896. E-mail: michele.ingwersen@mail.house.gov

Kevin Fogarty Chief of Staff and Media Liaison 202-225-7896 – E-mail: kevin.fogarty@mail.house.gov

King's email address is: Pete.King@mail.house.gov

Summary -

While Congressman King has been a leader in the ongoing effort to have Homeland Security funding based on threat analysis and is a strong supporter of the war against international terrorism, both at home and abroad as Chairman of the Homeland Security Committee, Congressman King has neither responded to nor has he yet taken a public position on the critical national security implications of compromised nuclear missiles on American soil by unknown forces as notarized by former United States Air Force officers Captain Robert Salas, Charles I. Halt, Bruce Fenstermacher, Robert C. Jamison, Dwyne. C. Arneson, Patrick McDonough and Jerome C. Nelson.

ZlandCommunications is confident that members of the press in the United States will undoubtedly wish to obtain a statement from Congressman King regarding the deliberations by the House Homeland Security Committee on this national security matter as well as his own position on compromised American nuclear missiles.

No public statements or postings on Congressman King's web site have been issued to date that

indicate he, as Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security, may be at all concerned about the national security implications of unauthorized nuclear missile shut-downs.

Background Sources -

-Copies of these military officers' affidavits, covering letters and faxed material to Congressman King's Washington office are in the possession of Captain Salas and ZlandCommunications. To view the notarized affidavits by former USAF officers go to: ZlandCommunications Document Centre

-Air Force Times:

<http://www.airforcetimes.com/news/2010/09/ap-Former-Air-Force-officers-discuss-UFO-sightings-092710/>

-Stars and Stripes:

<http://www.stripes.com/blogs/strikes-central/strikes-central-1.8040/ufo-expert-aliens-cautioning-humans-on-nukes-1.119813>

-Captain Salas' Interview on CBC television:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7me4boq5Wyk>

-Testimony of these seven former US Air Force officers at the Washington Press Club on September 27, 2010 go to:

<http://www.youtube.com/user/TinyInt64#p/c/o/aHPm5Hn1zMg>

Captain Robert Salas USAF (Ret.) can be reached at rsalas15@roadrunner.com or at 805-798-0813.

The CE4 Corner – November/December 2011 – Stuff is happening...

©2011 Craig R. Lang, MS CHt,

e-mail: craig@craigrlang.com

www.craigrlang.com, www.thecosmicbridge.com

The beat goes on. Stuff is happening.

In the last few days, my phone and e-mail have been hopping, about two to three contacts a day on a typical day, from people who have had recent close encounters. While this doesn't constitute a scientific statistical sample, it does indicate that the phenomenon is quite active. It confronts us with the question; is there a crescendo of anomaly activity going on?

The sense I get from many callers is that the phenomenon has taken on a new intensity. In the last two days the alone, there have been several calls on abductions that had happened the previous night. In many of these cases, the experiencer has stated that there is something happening – NOW.

So, what is it? Is there something going on in the domain of the phenomenon that directly affects its interaction with humans? Or is it a reflection of the current times in which we live? A number of other hypnotherapists in my area have told me they have seen an uptick in cases related to stress management. This is consistent with the increased volume of calls I have gotten from other potential (non-UFO) clients looking for stress management, fear management and related hypnotherapy work. It's a stressful world out there right now, and it looks like it is getting worse.

Even a cursory view at the news will tell you we live in a time of continued economic tension, income disparity, an increasing divide between rich and poor, etc. Several psychics/seers I know have personally told me that we are at the crossroads, the end of one era in history and the dawn of another – and looking at the news, I think I can believe it.

A few months ago, my wife and I attended a lecture on the current state of the economy, put on by the company that manages our 401(k) and other financial services. The speaker was a highly placed financial consultant with his finger on many of the world's economic veins. The gist of his talk was that the 2012 election would be a critical referendum on our economic future – the vision of the left, or the vision of the right. We are currently stuck between two paths, unable to decide which to travel. At the same time, we see increasing polarity – progressive vs conservative populism – radicalism vs reaction – left vs right, etc. Whichever side you are on (if you are on one), you will probably concur that the divide is deepening. As the stakes rise, so does the intensity of the conflict.

In addition, we see climate change reaching a critical point, population pressure growing, and other pressures increasing on the fabric of our society. Nearly all seem to be unique to our time in history the first time our civilization has seen this type of situation. (Note: I recently read the book *Collapse*, by Jared Diamond, discussing the collapse of several previous civilizations. The parallels are sobering).

At the same time, we see a number of elements of change in the wind - among them, the '[Occupy](#)' [movement](#). I have recently read several postings ([example](#)) asking whether this might be more than just a political movement. It does not appear to have a concrete agenda, nor does it have a well-defined leadership. To me, it suggests a sea change of thought and a corresponding wave of people who

have finally found an outlet for their discontent. Is this wave unique to our time or is this simply a (very human) repeat of other revolutionary movements in history?

In [The Cosmic Bridge](#), I offer a number of predictions as part of [The Indigo Hypothesis](#). One of them is that as the Indigo Children reach maturity, politics and world affairs will begin to change. There will be a shift of consciousness, especially among young adults. Perhaps this will lead to an overturn of the established order. Is this the start of that trend or is it simply a wave of political protest? Only time will tell.

In parallel with the 'Occupy' movement, we see the [Arab Spring](#). While I have little experience with Muslim clients, my understanding is that the same phenomenon is pervasive across all walks of society. If statistics from the USA hold true in the Middle East, approximately 1% of the population being experiencers, then perhaps the Indigo Hypothesis holds worldwide as well. It will be truly interesting to watch the course of this change in global affairs as the Indigo generation assumes the mantle of power throughout the world.

In his article '[A Possible Extraterrestrial Strategy for Earth](#)' James Deardorff suggests a multi-step contact agenda, which the Visitors might be following. Initially, contact begins with a quarantine (a.k.a. the "prime directive" of Star Trek fame), observation and research. Subsequently, it follows with individual contact at the grass-roots level, expanding until it begins to reach a fundamental change of awareness of the Visitors' presence.

In her book, [Conscious Evolution](#), Barbara Marx Hubbard describes a similar psychic awakening, beginning at the individual grass-roots level, eventually reaching a psychic critical mass. She describes a tipping point, in which the aggregate human consciousness shifts. In my view, this may include our society becoming open to contact with the Visitors.

One aspect of the phenomenon I have noticed is the long-term effect of psychic/spiritual awakening of the experiencer. Whether this is unique to the close encounter phenomenon, or it is the effect of a major event in a person's life is an open question. Many other major life events – illness, trauma, near-death experience – all seem to force a similar awakening effect. Yet, I see this occur with a powerful intensity in the life of many close

encounter experiencers. As increasing numbers of experiencers undergo such change, can we now see them as part of a psychic critical mass?

Perhaps in this way the Visitors might be driving a critical point in human evolution. Perhaps, through a combination of the awakening of the experiencer and the rise of a new generation of Indigo children, we are now beginning to see this critical mass appear. According to Deardorff, as humanity becomes more at home with the Visitors, we would also begin to manifest more openly. If this is the case, then perhaps in the near future, we will see a sharp increase in the number of open multiple-witness sightings – perhaps in populated urban areas. This would be a key test of the hypothesis that, at least in part, this awakening is due to Visitor contact.

In the near future, will we begin to see change in our own affairs of state? If predictions derived from the Indigo Hypothesis and from James Deardorff's 'Strategy' are accurate, a groundswell of human change is now beginning. In parallel with this, we should see increased numbers of high-profile sightings as the Visitors expand their interactions with humanity. Again, only time will tell whether this is true.

Meanwhile, the reports continue; sightings and encounters seem to be increasing. The beat goes on. Stuff is happening...

Air Force UFO Rules Vanish After Huffington Post Inquiry

Lee Speigel, lee.speigel@huffingtonpost.com,

10/19/11

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/10/19/air-force-deletes-ufo-rep_n_982128.html

The military deleted a passage about unidentified flying objects from a 2008 Air Force personnel manual just days after The Huffington Post asked Pentagon officials about the purpose of the UFO section.

Before the recent revisions, the document -- Air Force Instruction 10-206 -- advised pilots, radar operators and other Air Force personnel on what to do when they encountered any unknown airborne objects. Now in the 2011 version, the reference to UFOs -- which simply means "unidentified flying objects," not necessarily spaceships with little green men -- has been eliminated.

What makes this so intriguing is that the U.S. government officially stopped investigating UFOs in 1969 with the termination of the Air Force's Project Blue Book.

HERE'S THE 2008 VERSION OF AFI 10-206 THAT REFERENCED UFOs ON P. 37:

<http://www.docstoc.com/docs/99293340/Air-Force-AFI-2008>

Credit: John Greenewald, Jr. -- TheBlackVault.com

The 22-year study, led by high-level military officials and academic experts, ruled that UFOs weren't extraterrestrial visitors, nor were they technologically advanced aircraft, nor were they a threat to national security.

With that, the military essentially shut the book on flying saucer research, concluding that "nothing has occurred that would support a resumption of UFO investigation by the Air Force."

"The reason why the military is claiming they don't investigate UFOs is because they don't want to respond to people like you," former Air Force Captain Robert Salas told The Huffington Post.

"They don't want to respond to reporters or to the public as to what the heck is going on, and it's been going on for so long. They just don't want to have to answer that question."

Yet more than 40 years after the close of Project Blue Book, there were still written orders on what Air Force personnel should do in the event they spotted a flying vehicle that couldn't be identified.

As recently as early September, Air Force members who came across anything they didn't recognize were told to note "altitude, direction of travel, speed, description of flight path and maneuvers, what first called attention to the object, how long was the object visible and how did the object disappear?"

Eyes in the sky and on the ground were commanded to treat a UFO as they would if they had seen a missile, hostile aircraft or unidentified submarine.

All details were required to be included in a report to NORAD (North American Aerospace Defense Command), which protects the airspace over the U.S. and Canada.

On Sept. 2, The Huffington Post made inquiries to the Air Force about the UFO directives. A

spokesman said he'd arrange an interview with an appropriate officer. But before the interview was set up, the 111-page instruction manual was revised on Sept. 6, and the UFO instructions were deleted, as were other portions of the document, now shortened to 40 pages.

HERE'S THE UPDATED 2011 AFI 10-206 MANUAL WITH UFOs DELETED:

<http://www.docstoc.com/docs/99329881/Air-Force-10-206--2011>

Credit: U.S. Air Force

For several weeks, military officials failed to respond to HuffPost inquiries about the rewritten manual, which included changes to areas unrelated to UFOs.

Finally, on Oct. 5, after several follow-up calls, an Air Force major emailed a response, informing HuffPost that UFO reporting is not a duty of the armed forces branch. He denied any cover-up, and instead said it was a coincidence that the document was updated after this news organization asked for an explanation.

"UFO reporting is a NORAD requirement, but not a requirement for Air Force operational reports," Air Force Maj. Chad Steffey told The Huffington Post in the e-mail.

"All Air Force Instructions are reviewed/revised on a regular basis (about every two to three years). For this revision, we merely deleted a procedure that did not apply to this AFI.

"For any other questions about requirements for UFO reporting, I'll have to refer you to NORAD," Steffey wrote.

Unlike the Air Force, officials at NORAD acknowledge that looking into UFOs is part of their job description.

Of course, most UFOs are eventually explained as something far less extraordinary than little green -- or grey -- men.

"When I talk about UFOs, it is literally an unidentified flying object, not an extraterrestrial," said John Cornelio, chief of media relations at NORAD headquarters at Peterson Air Force Base, Colo. "There is a blip on the radar, and we don't know what it is. And we're responsible for identifying what that object is. We know it's an aircraft of some sort, but we don't know who it is, and so have to go up and identify it."

But here's the big question: Did the Air Force really stop investigating UFOs in 1969 as they have repeatedly claimed throughout the ensuing decades?

"Absolutely not! They have continued to look into UFO cases," said Salas, co-author of "Faded Giant." "In fact, there are NORAD records from 1975 of UFO sightings -- and this was not any general aviation aircraft -- objects seen over missile sites and other bases.

"They scrambled jets to intercept these UFOs, which clearly operated at speeds that the jets couldn't keep up with, and they were sighted by a lot of people," Salas told HuffPost.

THIS DOCUMENT DETAILS A 1975 NORAD INCIDENT WHERE JETS COULDN'T CATCH UFOs:

<http://www.docstoc.com/docs/99970570/Black-Vault-NORAD-Doc1>

Salas is just one of many former military officers who have come forward in recent years to disclose their involvement with a variety of UFO incidents that occurred over several U.S. nuclear weapons sites, sightings that had been kept secret for decades.

"As a matter of fact, there was that incident in Wyoming last year where 50 ICBM missiles went down and the base commanders instructed the troops not to talk to anybody about this UFO that was seen over the base," said Salas.

It was a scenario too familiar to Salas, who in 1967 was monitoring a launch control center at Malmstrom Air Force Base in Montana. When UFOs appeared over the base, Salas recalled, "all of a sudden, we started getting bells and whistles going off. As we looked at the display board in front of us, sure enough, the missiles began going into an unlaunchable, or no-go, mode. They couldn't be launched."

In the aftermath of that event, Salas was debriefed by the Air Force Office of Special Investigations, or AFOSI.

"After we told them our recollection of the incident, the AFOSI captain wanted us to sign papers, saying we'd never talk about this and swear we wouldn't even talk to our wives or any of the airmen on the base -- nobody!"

HuffPost News first received the 2008 Air Force procedure document from John Greenwald,

creator of The Black Vault, the largest private online archive -- at 600,000 downloadable pages -- of declassified documents on UFOs, government secrets, conspiracies, biological nuclear weapons and terrorist activities.

CHECK OUT JOHN GREENEWALD HERE:
<http://www.theblackvault.com/>

"When I first obtained AFI 10-206 in 2006, UFOs were in it, and were the same procedures as outlined in a previous Army-Navy-Air Force publication called JANAP-146, that was a joint U.S.-Canadian manual for reporting UFOs, that came after 1969," Greenewald, author of "Beyond UFO Secrecy," told HuffPost.

"They've had many opportunities to take [the UFO reference] off of this publication and now look at what happens," said Greenewald. "All of a sudden, when a major news outlet like Huffington Post starts asking questions about why UFOs are still on the books -- to have that media outlet not get a fast response, number one; and number two, the military completely re-writes the regulation, changes it and UFOs are nowhere to be found -- that's a fascinating coincidence."

Using the Freedom of Information Act, Greenewald's 15-year crusade to pry government documents loose has yielded UFO reports from many government agencies, like the CIA, Defense Intelligence Agency, Department of Defense and the National Security Agency, documents that detail UFO accounts beyond 1969 and into more recent times.

"You can find UFO documents that stretch all the way into 2008 on the shelves of quite a few agencies," Greenewald pointed out. "The CIA and National Security Agency collected quite a bit of material after 1969. The UFO topic is of great importance that never went away."

At NORAD, Cornelio explains that they can't investigate every single UFO report that comes along.

"We have our specific role that we're responsible for, and that is to monitor threats to our nation," he said. "But at the end of the day, it's not our job to identify every unidentified object that's out there. I'm aware of the process by which the command center handles these things, and I don't believe it's something that's releasable."

Salas doesn't completely agree with Cornelio about the overall urgency that some UFO reports pose.

"Are you telling me they don't care about UFOs in general, whether they're from another planet or not? They do care; they care about anything in our air space," said Salas. "They can't just say, 'No, we don't investigate UFOs.' They can't make a blanket statement like that because that's their duty, their responsibility, to investigate UFOs."

"To me, they're talking out of both sides of their mouth."

Moon to have no-fly zones by month end **R. PRASAD, September 7, 2011**

<http://www.thehindu.com/sci-tech/science/article2432999.ece>

THE PURPOSE: NASA's AFP THE PURPOSE: NASA's "recommendations" of no-fly zones are for preserving and protecting Apollo missions' historical sites and artefacts.

No-fly zones will come into effect on the moon for the very first time by the end of this month! Why, even buffer zones that spacecraft may have to avoid will come into existence. The reason: avoiding any spraying of rocket exhaust or dust onto certain historical sites and artefacts on the moon.

The historical sites are of course the Apollo landing sites and artefacts present on the moon. And the "recommendations" are for preserving and protecting these historical sites. There are currently more than three dozen historical sites that preserve the more than four-decade-old remains.

"Apollo 11 and 17 sites [will] remain off-limits, with ground-travel buffers of 75 metres and 225 metres from each respective lunar lander," states the July 20 guidelines of NASA. Science journal had obtained the guidelines.

No legal binding

According to Science, by the end of this month NASA is expected to come up with a set of "recommendations" for spacecraft and astronauts visiting the "U.S. government property on the moon." Of course, these recommendations will not be legally binding as the 1967 Outer Space Treaty makes it clear that the lunar surface has no owner.

Despite the lack of ownership, NASA is hopeful that other countries will respect the U.S. sentiments.

Incidentally, the restriction list contains more than the historical sites. For instance, the list includes studying discarded food and abandoned astronaut faeces.

Study of bacteria

Though these restrictions may appear preposterous, there are clear scientific compulsions to collect and study them. For example, studying the discarded food will reveal the viability of bacteria on the moon and, if present, how they have mutated and survived after years of exposure to solar radiation.

It is worthwhile to remember that all scientific experiments conducted on board during space travel are of a few days duration and pale in comparison with decades of constant exposure to several stressful lunar conditions/environment.

Similarly, there are other scientific compulsions to study the artefacts left behind on the moon. For instance, any metallic objects would reveal how these materials have degraded after prolonged exposure to solar radiation and other peculiar conditions prevailing on the moon.

What prompted the space agency to act was the Google Lunar X prize for those landing a robot that moves 500 metres and sends images from the moon. Precise landing near the Apollo sites would get them more money.

Very recently, NASA's Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO) captured the sharpest images ever taken from space of the Apollo 12, 14 and 17 landing sites. The paths made when the astronauts explored the lunar surface have been very clearly captured by the images.

According to NASA, at the Apollo 17 site, the tracks laid down by the lunar rover are clearly visible, along with the last foot trails left on the Moon. The images also show where the astronauts placed some of the scientific instruments that provided the first insights into the Moon's environment and interior.

Balls of Light, Satellites and Sky Watches –

Distant Encounters in the Night

©2011 by Craig R. Lang, MS CHt

www.craigrlang.com, www.thecosmicbridge.com

e-mail: craig@craigrlang.com

My how time flies; it's now the 17th anniversary of my first real exposure to the Minnesota UFO scene, when I attended the 1994 Science and Politics of UFO Research conference in Saint Paul. For me, it was the beginning of a long, adventurous journey full of events – and I'm convinced that that journey has only begun. Some time later, once I had finished grad school and had the time to do so, I joined MUFON and became a field investigator. Two years after that, I became a certified hypnotherapist. The rest is history... ☺

Like many other UFO investigators, as our understanding of the phenomenon evolves, I have occasionally stepped back and examined events in my own life. I have drawn many different and changing conclusions over fifteen years, often contradictory and ambiguous. Yet one thing I have noted is that, to the best of my knowledge and despite my wishes to do so, I have never seen a UFO up close and personally, never had a consciously remembered close encounter. (Note: I have often heard people repeat the old saying, be careful what you wish for).

Numerous times over these years, I have attended sky watches, CSETI contact circles, etc. All of these have been with the hope of seeing something for myself – yet so far, nothing – at least nothing unambiguous. There have been quite a few distant encounters, sightings of interesting lights in the sky, daylight specks that acted in an unexpected way, etc.- but so far nothing that I could clearly say was visiting us from another world.

Recently, several of us traveled to a location in west central Minnesota to visit the home of an experimenter who has described repeated on-going contact with the phenomenon. This particular person has described balls of light at treetop level, large up-close triangle sightings, abduction experiences, etc. Thus, I wondered if this time, I would observe something meaningful, sizeable, and clearly unexplained.

I need to thank the person – whom I will not name, as I do not have his permission at this time – for his gracious hospitality. He fed us and provided shelter for the night and while there, we took a number of

interesting photographs of light traces, balls of light and flashes in the sky.

Several of the more intrepid skywatchers stayed up all night. However, I eventually packed it in, chilled in the October night air. Of course, during the time I was asleep, those remaining awake observed several interesting things in the sky. One sighting was of a ball of light moving rapidly across the sky, apparently too fast and in a trajectory not consistent with a satellite, the wrong lighting for an airplane, and too slow for a meteor.

(Note: I later learned that another camera apparently caught an interesting image of a ball of light, not sure whether it was the same one. As of this writing, I have not yet seen this image).

While I was awake, both before I packed it in and later, after I waking up, we observed several intriguing flashes in the sky. One was a multiple flash in the constellation of Orion, nearly due south of us at the time. Another was a similar series of flashes in the constellation of Cassiopeia, nearly due north of us. Both flashes occurred several times, in nearly (but not exactly) the same location in the sky. This suggested that they were not geostationary, as the flashes were not in the exact same place. In addition, the location in the northern sky would not be possible for a satellite in geosynchronous orbit. So scratch that explanation. Earlier in the evening, we had observed an Iridium flare, the reflection of the sun from the solar panels on one of the many Iridium satellites passing overhead. This was sudden, bright at first, fading to nothing over several seconds. Yet, our mystery flashes were different, only momentary, repeating a few seconds to minutes later a few degrees from their earlier location. Were these unknowns? At least at the time, they were – technically meeting the criteria of being UFOs – something unidentified in (or from) the sky.

After I had returned home (and slept for quite a few hours), I did some internet research into the flashes we had seen. I found an on-line discussion involving high inclination, high eccentricity satellite orbits with references to such flashes. The explanation was that they were reflections from satellites in what is called a [Molniya orbit](#), with a perigee (lowest point) of under 200 kilometers and an apogee (highest point) of around 40,000 kilometers. Somewhere near its apogee, it would most likely emit the flashes we saw, appearing nearly but not exactly stationary.

Case closed? Not so fast...

One of the sky watchers had taken a fair number of pictures at periodic intervals during the night. On at least one occasion, his camera caught one of the flashes. In one picture, it was clearly bright and visible. In the next picture, a second or so later, the light was no longer there. Was it a satellite flash? According to him, the light in the photograph appeared too bright. Since I am not an expert on digital photography, I cannot say one way or the other. It may be explained, or maybe not, but once again, it was an ambiguous light in the sky, a momentary flicker of the unknown.

As in past sky watches, this time we observed a number of interesting lights, perhaps explainable, perhaps not. At the very least, for me it was another moment in which I could take in the beauty of the sky far from city lights. It was a brief moment of darkness, mysterious lights and ambiguity – another chapter in an on-going story of distant encounters in the night.

Wall Street Journal exposes Government Extraterrestrial Wall of Secrecy, Oct.26, 2011

<http://news.exopoliticsinstitute.org/index.php/wall-street-journal-exposes-government-extraterrestrial-wall-of-secrecy/>

Toronto [ZNN] – Wall Street may be occupied but the prestigious Wall Street Journal is indeed ‘occupied’ by matters of the Extraterrestrial kind.

The Wall Street Journal, America’s esteemed and largest selling newspaper by circulation, has made a daring editorial decision to cover the We the People Petition to the White House to acknowledge an ET presence now engaging the planet.

In light of a precedent setting on-line article by WSJ journalist Laura Meckler, there appears to be an unambiguous move by the ownership of the WSJ [News Corporation] to expose what the government may be withholding about the matter of extraterrestrials. Her article may represent the first real attempt in contemporary mainstream journalism to expose the US government’s wall of secrecy around the UFO/ET issue and reveal the powerful political and national security implications of the UFO/ET issue.

Meckler wrote: “When the White House promised to answer citizen petitions on the most pressing

problems of the day, it may not have had extraterrestrial life in mind.”

In Meckler’s interview with Stephen G. Bassett – sponsor of the ET petition, Bassett said: “The fact is that there is an extraterrestrial presence. I know this because I’m familiar with the research and a couple of hundred government witnesses have come forward in the last couple of years. Acknowledging this E.T. presence is about open government.”

Yet many still ask:

-Might the government be asking for trouble by allowing this petition to move into the White House and perhaps onto the desk of the President of the United States?

-Will this bold effort by the WSJ be thwarted by a political ‘end-run’ to eliminate any public discourse about government secrecy surrounding an extraterrestrial presence that might underscore the serious political and national security implications of America’s inability to control what is occurring in the airspace said to be dominated by their Air Force?

-And, why has a US Congressman decided not to reveal national security information in his possession about ICBMs being compromised by craft of unknown origin over American nuclear installations?

This precarious set of escalating questions presents a Pandora’s Box to the White House and the many intell-agencies that manage the truth embargo – one they will not want to open.

Meckler has also established that White House petition officials are bound by the terms of petition guidelines to consider those that reach 5,000 signatures. The ET petition has more than qualified. To date the ET petition has accumulated over 11,212 signatures and ranks 20th in over-all petitions.

Meckler Questions White House Officials

In the article, Meckler wrote: “Asked which official or agency would tackle the E.T. question, Mr. Phillips [director of digital strategy at the White House] said it would be decided at a meeting the next day. Asked after the meeting who drew the assignment, a spokesman said they wouldn’t be commenting until the official response is ready. What about that truth embargo? ‘You’re going to have to wait,’ Mr. Phillips said.” Political psycho-

babble or clear intention to move a long a continuum of truth?

A spokesperson for an international UFO Disclosure group told ZlandCommunications, “In secret vaults, scores of government documents have been uncovered by a world-wide network of researchers leaving no doubt whatsoever – the UFO/ET matter has been discussed at the highest levels of governance for over 60 years – not only in the USA but in other major G20 countries. It is clear that America’s largest selling newspaper has opened a serious fissure in the government’s wall of secrecy; one that the government cannot renege upon. The Wall Street Journal just might be the X-Factor – tipping the fluid balance in mainstream media towards an open challenge to the US government to come clean on this issue. Obama says he advocates for ‘open government’. If his previous track record in openness is to be taken into consideration - get set for more and elaborate forms of prevarication. Let’s see if his words are where his mouth is. The next several weeks will tell if the Wall Street Journal’s volley towards the White House is a severe wound to the secrecy machinery or a mere chink in the secrecy armour.”

Who is Next?

In the USA, local CBS, NBC and ABC affiliates and larger mainstream news organizations like Fox News, CNN and the History Channel are picking up on UFO sighting reports. Does this graduated and escalating form of coverage reveal a trend in wider and more intense exposure of the UFO/ET issue?

Now that the Wall Street Journal has stepped forward – it remains to be seen which other mainstream news outlet might be next to press the White House for answers to sensitive political and national security questions behind the intense governmental secrecy concerning UFOs. Or, will government find a way to bury the massive on-line and print circulation coverage by the Wall Street Journal of the ET matter and purge this politically explosive yet burgeoning petition from the democratic process?

One can only surmise that if the venerated Wall Street Journal has taken on the political implications of the UFO/ET issue, can American television’s most prestigious and popular investigative news program, 60 Minutes on CBS be next to take up the gauntlet?

Congressman in Possession of UFO/ET Affidavits

One place to start for 60 Minutes would be the office of Republican Congressman Peter King. As reported in March 2011 by ZlandCommunications, Congressman Peter King – Chair of the House Homeland Security Committee – already has in his possession sufficient national security information about the UFO/ET issue to put the White House Petition over the 25,000 mark. Seven notarized documents by former ranking military officers concerning unauthorized incursions over nuclear installations and missile shut-downs by craft of unknown origin were sent to the Congressman in December 2010 by ZlandCommunications.

These craft – according to affidavits by base launch commanders – were responsible for disabling dozens of ICBMs.

ZlandCommunications is unaware if Congressman King or any of his constituents have signed the White House ET petition or if King has made any attempt to bring these documents to the attention of the President, Congress or the Homeland Security Committee which he chairs.

On December 17, 2010 King's legislative assistant Michele Ingwersen told ZlandCommunications, "We have received your faxes and will show them to Congressman King. Thank you." For complete details on this matter, its release to the press, or to contact Congressman King see: ZNN Press Release Peter King. To obtain copies of notarized affidavits by former military personnel – please use the contact information below.

Summary

It stands to reason that because the White House has launched an open-government process, officials are obligated to follow their own procedures – unless they find another Machiavellian way to side-step the Extraterrestrial question – just as they've done for the last 64 years.

Will power and secrecy continue to reign over truth or will the crumbs of democracy that remain in the American system of government carry the day?

Read the entire article and watch an interview of Laura Meckler by Simon Constable and Wendy Barnes on the Wall Street Journal News Hub at:
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052970204774604576629070254619868.html>

"On the Difficulty of Determining the Intentions & Origins of UFOs"

By Fr. Peter D. Hun

by Robert D Morningstar, 08/15/2011

<http://www.ufodigest.com/article/difficulty-determining-intentions-origins-ufos-fr-peter-d-hun>

The UFO Digest Letter of the Week
To Editor

Hi Robert,

The Australian UFO Group had a discussion going about mass sightings. I sent them this to start a discussion, by asking questions.

Do you think it would be worth running as an article in UFO Digest?

Fr. Peter

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Fr. Peter D. Hun

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2011 at 7:30 AM

Subject: On the Difficulty of to Determining the Intentions & Origins of UFOs

To: AustralianUFOsightings@yahoogroups.com

Hello to you wonderful folks from Australia.

I have worked as a civilian investigator at a major Canadian UFO research organization and I decided to quit because I thought my own well being and safety could be compromised by my knowledge.

Things have reached the point now with the massive sightings and escalating geo-engineering operations (Chemtrails) that I think that I should ask a few questions from some people. even though I don't want to do this publicly.

Thanks for the video summarizing the latest mass sighting incidents. I thought that I'd ask some questions of the group and then check the responses after. I find it difficult to guess the intentions and the origins of what appear to be genuine solid operational unconventional aircraft in our skies.

Are some of them ours? Are some of them hostile?
Are some of them friendly?

Do we have air superiority? Are we trying to get air superiority?

It appears to me that NASA, and our governments believe that civilians don't have the knowledge and have actually identified many kinds of unconventional aircraft that civilians call UFOs

("Unidentified Flying Objects") or in military jargon, "Unknowns", or simply "Fastwalkers." They just arbitrarily determined in the 1940's that not only do we not have a right to know, we don't have civil rights as it relates to that subject.

The Brookings Report concluded that the public simply could not be told because so many people would suffer identity crises and not be able to continue working and probably would quit their religious faiths as well. Since then the media has been controlled and still is being controlled. Governments shed doubt on civilian witnesses and footage by circulating fakes with genuine footage on Youtube and elsewhere, always casting doubt on civilian claims.

My main concern is that if some of the unconventional aircraft which are involved particularly in mass sightings are in fact predatory or hostile, we might only be seeing a small sampling of their real numbers during obvious public shows - they might just be testing our readiness.

Should a hostile group choose open large scale invasion, we wouldn't see just a few over a city exercising military operations, I believe we would see not just thousands, but tens of thousands of craft landing simultaneously worldwide in order to drop large numbers of their own troops on our soil for military purposes.

Therefore, governments should involve civilians in preparing to defend themselves, and the Planet Earth if in fact some of the craft doing "frequent flier miles" (quoting Peter Davenport) are from a hostile group from another planet.

My theory after having studied abductions is that some of the potentially hostile aliens can shut off our brains within a certain distance using electromagnetic energy to block the Limbic Systems of our brains.

Do some of our troops have the means to counter that? Using something like 3M Velostat as a block? What kind of countermeasure technologies are our governments already using (Star Wars, lasers on aircraft, airborne lasers, aerial spraying, HAARP for example)?

I believe that our governments already have many of the answers in trying to counter potentially hostile groups, however it is kept most secret because as Margaret Thatcher was quoted as saying: "You just can't tell the People."

Also, there is a side to this whole issue that involves human origins, our fate, and our destiny - as Sitchin has argued. I believe that groups within our governments and social power groups have known about this all along, and are in fact either complicit with extraterrestrials, or are using their occult knowledge to maintain their power and privilege.

If we already do have back-engineered technology and our own secret space program - which I think is probably the case - the wonderful people running the oil companies just want to keep pumping and selling - well I would probably want to do the same thing myself if I was controlling hundreds of billions of dollars per year.

Are the weather modification programs now underway actually under alien control? Are our governments sworn to alien secrecy? By co-opting in bases with EBENS have our governments already ceded national, international, and world sovereignty without our knowledge?

Truth is the first casualty of war, and in the case of unconventional aircraft, how many hundreds of billions of world dollars are being secretly spent on the whole operation? I'm guessing the whole mess is much, much bigger than most civilian investigators could even guess.

In war, Truth doesn't just lose out, civilians do as well. Civilians run and hide and try to find food. They mean nothing to military operations during a war. Truth and lives mean nothing to the warriors.

Except to Major H. Von Dach Bern, who wrote about Swiss Army guerilla warfare organization in 1957 in his response to the Russian invasion of Hungary. His book was called 'Total Resistance.'

The book framed Swiss Defense as it exists today - Swiss defense today is an amplified version of Von Dach Bern's methods and philosophy.

Instead of disarming countries worldwide which now seems to be the case, governments should be organizing civilians to defend Earth. They might not be, because perhaps members of the NWO are already complicit in cooperating with EBEN dominance or open takeover through a world government.

Have the Quislings of our age sold us out already? Bought out by fear, power, privilege, or a guaranteed 'seat' in an underground base when all hell breaks loose? How much would it take for you

to sell out? Fear? The 'golden ticket'? A chance to get a tour of a craft on display?

What about the computerization of our society? Is it happening for efficiency, or for control? What about the fact that we live in a surveillance society? Is that related?

What about the Bar Code? Is that related? Or is it 'paranoid' to try to forge a connection between these things? Is it a 'conspiracy theory' or is it really just a painful elaboration of what is obviously happening?

Most people are stunned, and don't ask questions. Those who have figured out that something's happening are too afraid to ask questions.

We're told that from our lessons in history regarding the concentration camps in WWII that most people in Germany cooperated. The real reason was that they were afraid because once the machine and the camps had been set up how could they actually resist?

In our society today most people are completely atomized and have no ability to organize whatsoever. It is the responsibility of our governments to inform the citizens of what is going on, and it is the

responsibility of our governments to defend the Earth and all our citizens not sell them out. Our governments should be educating individuals about what is coming and how people can resist and survive.

It is my own belief that the Norway Seed Vault and the underground bases have been set up because not only are we at risk for alien invasion, that alien invasion may in fact happen at a time of sudden

violent climatic change. The population control elite would probably like that, and have convinced themselves that they could survive that. The same group have probably already been running Alternative Three since the 1950's or 1960s - the repopulation of the human race in other locations (Is that how humans originally came to Earth - by being covertly moved from another doomed planet?).

In my opinion the most important public statement about this has been by Canada's former Defense Minister Paul Hellyer. Just Google "Paul Hellyer

ufo" and you'll find him there. This issue is so large that it really does involve everybody.

It involves replacing our energy with a new form of energy. It involves weather modification happening globally. It involves the defence of Earth against potentially hostile aliens. It involves humanity's fate and destiny.

It probably also involves massive sudden earth changes which our elite has been using lots of money to prepare for since at least the 1960's. It involves the individual sovereignty of our nations and the nations of our world.

It involves the potential loss of the freedom of everybody on the Planet Earth. It involves all future generations. It could involve the sudden and massive loss of life worldwide that would dwarf all world wars. I don't actually have any solutions for all of this, I'm just asking some questions.

When the ufo's buzzed the BBC, London Bridge, and the Ministry of Defence in the UK recently, why did they do that? Anybody who knows anything about war or the military knows that those locations

would be key targets during an invasion of any kind - whether from another country, or from another planet. The craft were seen by many people publicly - a mass sighting - and filmed. Their aircraft performance envelopes are such that I wonder if a human's reflexes could actually operate an aircraft moving that quickly. I doubt it.

There's no need for anybody to 'debunk' that footage or the subject in general because we are well beyond that now.

As someone who's been involved in investigation in the past, I have stopped my active involvement for my own privacy and safety however as a human being who knows just a bit of the overall picture, I'm really just sitting and waiting to see what happens because, in my opinion, the mass sightings are just the tip of the iceberg.

I'm also aghast at what appears to be the 'write-off' of the civilian population from the word 'go' in the 1940's. So what, are we supposed to be - food for another species? Are we supposed to sit and wait while everything happens and act stupid? There's only enough food in the stores for two days, then we're on our own if the trucks stop running.

That's a civilian's reality. Our medical systems have been shaved down so they can barely handle regular demand. What happens in the case of biological or chemical warfare or an epidemic? What kind of civilian defense exists to help defend the Earth? What about the Fireman's Handbook? Why was that written? Why only tell the firemen? Why not tell everybody?

Greater Vancouver probably would have problems handling a large earthquake let alone alien invasion, massive and sudden climate change, an epidemic, or all of that combined. Civilians don't exist as far as those problems go - the only things civilians know is that they can't travel on roads with the yellow triangle unless they have one displayed in their car.

Fr. Peter D. Hun, Surrey BC, August 2011



Minnesota Disc

Ranier -- I was with some friends, we were hanging out at her house on the lake when this disc of lights suddenly appeared. It hovered for several minutes and then was gone. I didn't hear any sounds as it was super quiet. We all just were in shock and a bit freaked out, but amazed that it was SO close. I happened to have my phone and was quick to snap just one picture. It seemed like it was almost right over the top of us... and it moved some but not much. It turned in a circle motion as it went up into never never land. This is not the first time I have seen this around this area, but this is the first time I was able to get a picture and the first time it was so close. My first sighting of this was further up Rainy Lake. I also know of others that have seen them around. Thanks to MUFON CMS

For up to date information on MUFON Minnesota meetings and agendas, including special speakers, visit: <http://www.mnmufon.org/agenda.htm> or <http://www.mnmufon.org/event.htm>

Minnesota MUFON

State Director: Lorna Hunter (320) 297-0205
Assist. State Dir.: Richard Moss (320) 732-3205
Assist. State Dir.: Bill McNeff (952) 890-1390
Field Invest. Coord.: Craig Lang
Journal Editor: Joel Henry, PO Box 240631
Apple Valley, MN 55124
(952) 431-2426 E-Mail: mmj@mnmufon.org

MN MUFON WEB PAGE

<http://www.mnmufon.org> - Joel Henry, Webmaster

MUFONET: 3.9777 Mhz Mon. at 7:00pm CST,
Bob Shultz, Net Control


National MUFON Hotline

To report UFO news, sightings, etc. call 1-800-836-2166

The Minnesota MUFON Journal (MMJ) is a FREE publication available by internet only as a .PDF document. If you send an e-mail request to: mmj@mnmufon.org and I will add you to my e-mail notification list. Or you can just go to: www.mnmufon.org/mmjpdf.htm where you can check for new issues from time to time.

If you know someone who would like the MMJ, but does not have e-mail or internet access, you may print out a copy of the MMJ and give to them as long as you do not charge for it. If you have news or editorial contributions you wish to submit for inclusion please direct your articles or inquiries to the Editor.

NOTE: Copyrights for the articles in this issue are property of the originator(s) and/or their assignee(s). Articles are reprinted here with permission or are believed to be in the public domain. Permission to use or reprint must be obtained from the original articles author(s).



Minnesota MUFON Journal
Joel Henry, Editor
P.O. Box 240631
Apple Valley, MN 55124